

## Decision details

City Centre Anti-Social Behaviour Enforcement - DEC15/CAB/106

- [Find out more about this issue](#)

**Decision maker:** Cabinet

**Decision status:** **Item Called In**

**Is Key decision?:** No

**Is subject to call in?:** Yes

### Purpose:

Cabinet received a report the purpose of which was to outline proposed measures that changed the way enforcement and compliance activity of Peterborough City Council was delivered.

Cabinet considered the report and **RESOLVED** to change the way that enforcement and compliance activity was delivered, in order to protect the city from anti-social behaviour.

### Reasons for the decision:

The recommendations would ensure that the city would be a more attractive place to visit and work.

### Alternative options considered:

Consideration could be given to leaving the separate teams as they were. However it was already apparent that by not having joined up services, the needs of visitors and businesses in Peterborough were not being adequately met.

### Interests and Nature of Interests Declared:

None.

### Background Documents:

None.

**Publication date:** 07/12/2015

**Date of decision:** 07/12/2015

**Issue status:** The decision is currently being reconsidered

### This decision has been called in by:

- [Councillor Nick Sandford](#) who writes Criteria 3. Decision is Key but it has not been dealt with in accordance with the Council's Constitution. Criteria 4. Decision does not follow principles of good decision-making set out in Article 11 of the Council's Constitution. (a) Realistically consider all alternatives and, where reasonably possible, consider the views of the public. (c) Take account of all relevant matters, both in general and specific, and ignore any irrelevant matters. (f) Follow procedures correctly and be fair. (i) Take appropriate professional advice from officers."

**Accompanying Documents:**

- It is not anticipated that there will be any documents other than the report and relevant appendices to be published.